Prepared for submission to JHEP

On the Subleading-Soft Behaviour of QCD Amplitudes

arXiv:1411.1669v1 [hep-th] 6 Nov 2014

Hui Luo,[a,b,c] **Pierpaolo Mastrolia,**[a,b,d] **and William J. Torres Bobadilla**[a,b]

[a] *Dipartimento di Fisica ed Astronomia, Università di Padova, Via Marzolo 8, 35131 Padova, Italy*

[b] *INFN, Sezione di Padova, Via Marzolo 8, 35131 Padova, Italy*

[c] *SISSA, Via Bonomea 265, 34136 Trieste, Italy*

[d] *Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, Föhringer Ring 6, 80805 München, Germany*

Abstract: We elaborate on the radiative behaviour of tree-level scattering amplitudes in the soft regime. We show that the sub-leading soft term in single-gluon emission of quark-gluon amplitudes in QCD is controlled by differential operators, whose universal form can be derived from both on-shell recursion relation and gauge invariance, as it was shown to hold for graviton- and gluon-scattering.

Keywords: QCD, scattering amplitudes

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Soft limit of gluon-amplitudes **3**

3 Photon bremsstrahlung from quark-gluon amplitudes **5**

3.1 Derivation from on-shell recursion relation 5

3.2 Derivation from gauge invariance 7

3.3 Connection between the two derivations 9

3.4 Examples 12

3.4.1 MHV and $\overline{\text{MHV}}$ amplitudes 12

3.4.2 NMHV 6–point amplitudes 13

4 Soft-limit of quark-gluon amplitudes **14**

4.1 Case 1: soft-gluon adjacent to the anti-quark and one gluon 15

4.2 Case 2: soft-gluon adjacent to two gluons 16

4.3 Examples 17

4.3.1 $A_6\left(1_q^-, 2_g^-, 3_g^-, 4_g^+, s_g^+, 5_{\bar{q}}^+\right)$ 17

4.3.2 $A_6\left(1_q^-, 2_g^-, 3_g^-, s_g^+, 4_g^+, 5_{\bar{q}}^+\right)$ 18

5 Conclusion **20**

A Low-energy regular terms **20**

1 Introduction

Soft emission of massless gauge bosons is a source of infrared singularities for scattering amplitudes. The behaviour of a radiative cross-section in the soft regime, when the momentum of the emitted particle becomes evanescent, is governed by the non-radiative process, *perturbed* by the action of operators that depend on the quantum numbers of the emitter, whose universal form is dictated by gauge invariance.

At the tree-level, for photon-, or similarly gluon-emission, as well as for graviton-emission, the leading term in the momentum-expansion of a radiative amplitude are controlled by *soft factors* whose shape was identified a long ago [1, 2]. A recent study of Cachazo and Strominger about graviton amplitudes [3], followed by a similar analysis applied to gluon-scattering by Casali [4], pointed to the existence of *differential operators* that control the subleading behaviour. Within the spinor formalism, the form of these operators can be easily derived by taking the soft-limit of the on-shell recursive construction of the amplitude [5, 6]. Alternatively, by following the proof of Low's theorem [1], it is possible to show that the subleading-soft operators depend on the total angular momentum (orbital and spin) of the radiator, as explicitly shown for gluon- and graviton-amplitudes by Bern, Davies, Di Vecchia, and Nohle [7]. The universality character of the subleading soft operators, also in the case of fermionic emitter, was shown by White [8], employing a set of effective Feynman rules [9].

All these recent results, in accordance to Low's theorem show that subleading soft terms are controlled by the total angular momentum of the emitter and arise from internal- and external-line emissions. While both carry informations on the orbital momentum, only the latter contain information on the spin.

The emergence of subleading soft theorems was confirmed to hold in arbitrary dimensions for tree-amplitudes of gluon and gravitons [10, 11], and the study of their modification due higher-order corrections has been carried out in [12, 13]. The recent interest on the study of the low-energy behaviour of scattering amplitudes has been stimulating further investigation on how the universality of the soft expansion can be connected to additional symmetries of the S-matrix [14–17].

In this article, we elaborate on the soft behaviour of single-gluon radiation from QCD amplitudes of two quarks and gluons. Upon colour decomposition [18], one can identify two situations according to the position of the soft gluon in the colourless ordered subamplitude: *i)* between a (anti-)quark and a gluon, and *ii)* between two gluons. Case *(ii)* is similar to the pure Yang-Mills (YM) case, where the emitter is necessarily a gluon, and it can be considered well studied [4, 7]. In case *(i)*, instead, the soft gluon can be radiated either from a gluon or from a (anti-)quark. In order to derive the soft behaviour from fermion emitters, we analyse the paradigmatic case of photon bremsstrahlung from the quark-line in QED. For this case, we show the equivalence of the soft operators derived from gauge invariance and from the on-shell construction. This result can be, then, easily extended to the quark-gluon amplitudes in QCD.

Our derivation of the soft behaviour of quark-gluon scattering can be considered complementary to the study of subleading soft behaviour of bosonic amplitudes for graviton and YM amplitudes, which were addressed with similar techniques [3, 4, 7]. Making use of no effective Feynman rule, the proof herby given

can be considered as an alternative to the derivation proposed in [19]. Differently from the the bosonic cases, where the spin operators, being written in terms of the metric tensor, can be easily disentangled from the non-radiative amplitude, the terms from the anomalous magnetic moment require a more careful treatment.

We explicitly apply the soft operators to describe the low-energy behaviour of quark-gluon amplitudes, emitting either a photon or a gluon, for non-trivial helicity configurations of six-parton scattering.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we recall the derivation of the subleading soft terms for pure Yang-Mills amplitudes by means of on-shell recursive construction, and its link to Low's theorem. In Section 3, we apply both on-shell methods and gauge invariance to derive the soft behaviour for photon bremsstrahlung from quark-gluon amplitudes. Section 4 contains the proof of the subleading soft theorem for QCD amplitudes. The last two sections are both accompanied by explicit examples. The calculations have been performed by using the Mathematica package S@M [20], implementing the spinor formalism.

2 Soft limit of gluon-amplitudes



Figure 1. Soft-gluon behaviour of pure-gluon amplitudes

According to little group transformation, the scaling behaviour of tree-level scattering amplitudes involving massless particles is given by,

$$\mathcal{A}(\{t\lambda_i, t^{-1}\widetilde{\lambda}_i, h_i\}) = t^{-2h_i}\mathcal{A}(\{\lambda_i, \widetilde{\lambda}_i, h_i\}), \tag{2.1}$$

where h_i is the helicity of particle i, and λ_i and $\tilde{\lambda}_i$ are the (holomorphic and anti-holomorphic) spinors associated to its massless momentum $k_{i\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \lambda_{i\alpha}\widetilde{\lambda}_{i\dot{a}}$. Let us consider the n-gluon amplitude $\mathcal{A}_n$, with the gluon s as soft, and momentum k_s defined as $k_{s\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \epsilon\lambda_{s\alpha}\widetilde{\lambda}_{s\dot{a}}$, where ϵ parametrise the energy loss. The corresponding amplitude transforms as

$$\mathcal{A}_n(\{\sqrt{\epsilon}\lambda_s, \sqrt{\epsilon}\widetilde{\lambda}_s, +1\}) = \epsilon\,\mathcal{A}_n(\{\epsilon\lambda_s, \widetilde{\lambda}_s, +1\}). \tag{2.2}$$

With this choice, the soft limit is realised through the holomorphic limit $\epsilon\lambda_s \to 0$, while keeping the anti-holomorphic one $\widetilde{\lambda}_s$ as finite [3, 4].

The low-energy behaviour derived from the BCFW construction [5, 6] shows that the colour-ordered amplitude in the soft-limit reads [4],

$$\mathcal{A}_n\left(\{\epsilon\,|s\rangle\,,|s]\}\,,\{|1\rangle\,,|1]\}\,,\ldots,\{|n-1\rangle\,,|n-1]\}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}S_{\rm G}^{(0)\lambda}+\frac{1}{\epsilon}S_{\rm G}^{(1)\lambda}\right)\mathcal{A}_n\left(1,\ldots,n-1\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon^0\right) \quad (2.3)$$

were the leading and the sub-leading terms are,

$$S_{\rm G}^{(0)\lambda}=\frac{\langle n-1,\,1\rangle}{\langle s,\,1\rangle\,\langle n-1,\,s\rangle}\,, \quad (2.4)$$

$$S_{\rm G}^{(1)\lambda}=\frac{1}{\langle s,\,1\rangle}\widetilde{\lambda}_s^{\dot\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial\widetilde{\lambda}_1^{\dot\alpha}}+\frac{1}{\langle n-1,\,s\rangle}\widetilde{\lambda}_s^{\dot\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial\widetilde{\lambda}_{n-1}^{\dot\alpha}}\,. \quad (2.5)$$

As shown in [7], on-shell gauge invariance can be used as well to determine the next-to-leading soft behaviour of non-Abelian gauge theory. The color-ordered (polarisation-stripped) amplitude takes contribution from the three types of diagrams shown in fig. 1. Diagrams (a) and (b) contribute to the leading pole term in the soft regime, while the third structure, with the soft-gluon emitted from an internal propagator, is regular in this limit. By using the on-shell gauge invariance, one obtain the soft behaviour,

$$A_n(k_s;k_1,\ldots,k_{n-1})=\left[S_{\rm G}^{(0)}+S_{\rm G}^{(1)}\right]A_{n-1}(k_1,\ldots,k_{n-1})+\mathcal{O}(k_s)\,, \quad (2.6)$$

with

$$S_{\rm G}^{(0)}\equiv\frac{k_1\cdot\varepsilon(k_s;r_s)}{\sqrt{2}(k_1\cdot k_s)}-\frac{k_{n-1}\cdot\varepsilon(k_s;r_s)}{\sqrt{2}(k_{n-1}\cdot k_s)}\,, \quad (2.7)$$

$$S_{\rm G}^{(1)}\equiv-i\varepsilon_\mu(k_s;r_s)k_{s\sigma}\left(\frac{J_{\rm G1}^{\mu\sigma}}{\sqrt{2}(k_1\cdot k_s)}-\frac{J_{{\rm G}n-1}^{\mu\sigma}}{\sqrt{2}(k_{n-1}\cdot k_s)}\right)\,, \quad (2.8)$$

where J is the total angular momentum of the emitter, written in terms of the orbital momentum L and spin Σ,

$$\begin{aligned}J_{{\rm G}i}^{\mu\sigma}&\equiv L_{{\rm G}i}^{\mu\sigma}+\Sigma_{{\rm G}i}^{\mu\sigma}\,,\\ L_{{\rm G}i}^{\mu\sigma}&\equiv i\left(k_i^\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial k_{i\sigma}}-k_i^\sigma\frac{\partial}{\partial k_{i\mu}}\right)\,,\\ \Sigma_{{\rm G}i}^{\mu\sigma}&\equiv i\left(\varepsilon_i^\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon_{i\sigma}}-\varepsilon_i^\sigma\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon_{i\mu}}\right)\,.\end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

In the derivation of this result [7], $L_{{\rm G}i}^{\mu\sigma}$ is understood not to act on explicit polarisation vectors, i.e. $L_{{\rm G}i}^{\mu\sigma}\varepsilon_i^\nu=0$.

As discussed in [7], the equivalence between the operators $S_{\rm G}^{(1)}$, derived from gauge invariance, and $S_{\rm G}^{(1)\lambda}$, derived from on-shell recurrence, can be seen through their explicit action on polarisation vectors. In fact, the next-to-soft operators $S_{\rm G}^{(1)}$ and $S_{\rm G}^{(1)\lambda}$ acting on $\varepsilon^{\pm\rho}\left(k_1;r_1\right)$ with reference momentum k_{r_1} (similarly for $\varepsilon^{\pm\rho}\left(k_{n-1};r_{n-1}\right)$) amount to,

$$S_{\rm G}^{(1)}\varepsilon^{+\rho}(k_1;r_1)=-\frac{\langle r_1,\,s\rangle}{\langle r_1,\,1\rangle\,\langle 1,\,s\rangle}\varepsilon^{+\rho}(k_s;r_1), \quad (2.10)$$



Figure 2. Soft-photon behaviour of quark-gluon amplitudes

$$S_{\rm G}^{(1)}\varepsilon^{-\rho}(k_1;r_1) = +\frac{[r_1,\,s]}{[r_1,\,1]\,[1,\,s]}\varepsilon^{+\rho}(k_s;r_1)\ , \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$S_{\rm G}^{(1)\lambda}\varepsilon^{+\rho}(k_1;r_1) = -\frac{\langle r_1,\,s\rangle}{\langle r_1,\,1\rangle\,\langle 1,\,s\rangle}\varepsilon^{+\rho}(k_s;r_1), \tag{2.12}$$

$$S_{\rm G}^{(1)\lambda}\varepsilon^{-\rho}(k_1;r_1) = +\frac{[r_1,\,s]}{[r_1,\,1]\,[1,\,s]}\left[\varepsilon^{+\rho}(k_s;r_1) - \frac{\sqrt{2}\,[r_1,\,s]}{[r_1,\,1]\,\langle 1,\,s\rangle}k_1^{\rho}\right]. \tag{2.13}$$

The second term in the last equation is proportional to k_1^{ρ}, and vanishes after contracting it with the polarisation stripped $(n-1)$-point amplitude, due to Ward identity. Therefore, the next-to-leading soft (differential) operators obtained in the two framework are completely equivalent.

3 Photon bremsstrahlung from quark-gluon amplitudes

Before turning our discussion to the soft gluon emission from quark-gluon amplitudes, we derive the low-energy behaviour of photon emission from colour ordered quark-gluon tree-level amplitudes. Since the gluon radiation from gluon emitter has been studied [4, 7], one needs to consider only the radiation from the fermion-line. In order to isolate only this contribution, instead of considering the gluon emission, we consider the radiation of a photon from the quark-line of a quark-gluon amplitude.

We discuss the derivation of the leading and next-to-leading soft terms from both on-shell recurrence and gauge invariance approaches, and proof the equivalence of the respective results.

3.1 Derivation from on-shell recursion relation

We consider a color-ordered amplitude $A_{n+3}(\Lambda_{\bar{q}},\gamma_s^+,\Lambda_q,g_1,\cdots,g_n)$, where Λ_q and $\Lambda_{\bar{q}}$ denote the quark and antiquark, and γ_s^+ stands for a soft photon of helicity $h_s=+1$, emitted from the fermionic current. The explicit helicity choice of the photon does not affect the generality of our derivation, since the negative-helicity case can be treated analogously. Under the BCFW deformation involving γ_s and g_n pair [21]

$$|\widehat{s}\rangle = |s\rangle + z\,|n\rangle\ , \tag{3.1}$$

$$|\widehat{n}] = |n] - z\ |s]\ \ , \tag{3.2}$$

the amplitude factorises as,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n+3}\left(\Lambda_{\bar{q}},\gamma_s^+,\Lambda_q,g_1,\cdots,g_n\right) = &\sum A_L\left(\widehat{\gamma_s^+},\Lambda_q,\widehat{I}\right)\frac{1}{P^2_{s,q}}A_R\left(-\widehat{I},g_1,\ldots,\widehat{g_n},\Lambda_{\bar{q}}\right)\\ &+\sum A_L\left(\Lambda_{\bar{q}},\widehat{\gamma_s^+},\widehat{I}\right)\frac{1}{P^2_{\bar{q},s}}A_R\left(-\widehat{I},\Lambda_q,g_1\ldots,\widehat{g_n}\right)\\ &+\sum A_L\left(\Lambda_{\bar{q}},\widehat{\gamma_s^+},\Lambda_q,g_1,\ldots,g_j,\widehat{I}\right)\frac{1}{P^2_{\bar{q},s,q,1,\ldots,j}}A_R\left(-\widehat{I},g_{j+1},\ldots,\widehat{g_n}\right)\ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

as depicted in fig. 2. In the first term, on-shellness requires $z=-\frac{\langle q,s\rangle}{\langle q,n\rangle}$, and $\widehat{I}$ stands for a fermion with opposite helicity with respect to q. By taking the soft limit, $|s\rangle\to\epsilon|s\rangle$, this terms reads

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\frac{\langle n,q\rangle}{\langle n,s\rangle\langle s,q\rangle}\times A_{n+2}\left(\{|q\rangle,|q]+\epsilon\frac{\langle n,s\rangle}{\langle n,q\rangle}|s]\},\{|1\rangle,|1]\},\ldots,\{|n\rangle,|n]+\epsilon\frac{\langle s,q\rangle}{\langle n,q\rangle}|s]\},\{|\bar{q}\rangle,|\bar{q}]\}\right)\\ &=\left[\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\frac{\langle n,q\rangle}{\langle n,s\rangle\langle s,q\rangle}+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left(\frac{1}{\langle s,q\rangle}\widetilde{\lambda}_s^{\dot{a}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\widetilde{\lambda}_q^{\dot{a}}}+\frac{1}{\langle n,s\rangle}\widetilde{\lambda}_s^{\dot{a}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\widetilde{\lambda}_n^{\dot{a}}}\right)\right]A_{n+2}\left(\{|q\rangle,|q]\},\{|1\rangle,|1]\},\ldots,\{|n\rangle,|n]\},\{|\bar{q}\rangle,|\bar{q}]\}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

In the second term of (3.3), the on-shell condition implies $z=-\frac{\langle\bar{q},s\rangle}{\langle\bar{q},n\rangle}$, and $\widehat{I}$ stands for a fermion with opposite helicity with respect to $\bar{q}$. In the soft limit, $|s\rangle\to\epsilon|s\rangle$, this term becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\frac{\langle n,\bar{q}\rangle}{\langle n,s\rangle\langle s,\bar{q}\rangle}\times A_{n+2}\left(\{|\bar{q}\rangle,|\bar{q}]+\epsilon\frac{\langle n,s\rangle}{\langle n,\bar{q}\rangle}|s]\},\{|q\rangle,|q]\},\{|1\rangle,|1]\},\ldots,\{|n\rangle,|n]+\epsilon\frac{\langle s,q\rangle}{\langle n,q\rangle}|s]\}\right)\\ &=-\left[\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\frac{\langle n,\bar{q}\rangle}{\langle n,s\rangle\langle s,\bar{q}\rangle}+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left(\frac{1}{\langle s,\bar{q}\rangle}\widetilde{\lambda}_s^{\dot{a}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\widetilde{\lambda}_{\bar{q}}^{\dot{a}}}+\frac{1}{\langle n,s\rangle}\widetilde{\lambda}_s^{\dot{a}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\widetilde{\lambda}_n^{\dot{a}}}\right)\right]A_{n+2}\left(\{|\bar{q}\rangle,|\bar{q}]\},\{|q\rangle,|q]\},\{|1\rangle,|1]\},\ldots,\{|n\rangle,|n]\}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

As shown in Appendix A, the third term of (3.3) contains only finite contribution, hence does not contribute to any soft operator. After summing the first and second term together, the amplitude in the soft regime reads,

$$A_{n+3}\left(\Lambda_{\bar{q}},\gamma_s^+,\Lambda_q,g_1,\cdots,g_n\right)=\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}S^{(0)\lambda}+\frac{1}{\epsilon}S^{(1)\lambda}\right)A_{n+2}\left(\Lambda_{\bar{q}},\Lambda_q,g_1,\cdots,g_n\right)+\mathcal{O}(1)\ , \tag{3.6}$$

with

$$S^{(0)\lambda}=\frac{\langle n,q\rangle}{\langle n,s\rangle\langle s,q\rangle}-\frac{\langle n,\bar{q}\rangle}{\langle n,s\rangle\langle s,\bar{q}\rangle}=\frac{\langle\bar{q},\,q\rangle}{\langle\bar{q},\,s\rangle\langle s,\,q\rangle}, \tag{3.7}$$

$$S^{(1)\lambda}=\frac{1}{\langle s,q\rangle}\widetilde{\lambda}_s^{\dot{a}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\widetilde{\lambda}_q^{\dot{a}}}-\frac{1}{\langle s,\bar{q}\rangle}\widetilde{\lambda}_s^{\dot{a}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\widetilde{\lambda}_{\bar{q}}^{\dot{a}}}, \tag{3.8}$$

and where A_{n+2} is the non radiative quark-gluon amplitude.

3.2 Derivation from gauge invariance

Within a diagrammatic approach, the amplitude $A\left(\Lambda_{\bar{q}}, \gamma_s^+, \Lambda_q, g_1, \cdots, g_n\right)$ gets contribution from the three diagrams in fig. 2, and can be obtained by contracting the soft-photon polarisation $\varepsilon_\mu(k_s; r_s)$ and the current A^μ_{n+3},

$$A_{n+3} = \varepsilon_\mu(k_s; r_s)\ A^\mu_{n+3}\ , \tag{3.9}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} A^\mu_{n+3}\left(k_s; k_{\bar{q}}, k_q, k_1, \ldots, k_n\right) = &-\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\overline{u}(k_q)\tilde{A}(k_{\bar{q}}+k_s,\ k_q,\ k_1, \ldots, k_n)\frac{i\left(\not{k}_{\bar{q}}+\not{k}_s\right)}{(k_{\bar{q}}+k_s)^2}\gamma^\mu v(k_{\bar{q}}) \\ &+\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\overline{u}(k_q)\gamma^\mu\frac{i\left(\not{k}_q+\not{k}_s\right)}{(k_q+k_s)^2}\tilde{A}(k_{\bar{q}},\ k_q+k_s,\ k_1, \ldots, k_n)v(k_{\bar{q}}) \\ &+N^\mu_{n+3}(k_s; k_{\bar{q}}, k_q, k_1, \ldots, k_n)\ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

The first term, corresponding to fig. 2 (a), represents the case of soft-photon emission from an outgoing antiquark; the second term, corresponding to fig. 2 (a), represents the case of soft-photon emission from an outgoing quark; while the third term N^μ_{n+3} represents the case of soft-photon emission from internal fermion lines, as shown in fig. 2 (c). $\widetilde{A}$ is the internal part sandwiched by two free-particle states of fermions. By using the anti-commuting γ-matrix relations, the massless Dirac equation, $\overline{u}(p)\not{p} = \not{p}\, v(p) = 0$, the transversality conditions, $k_s \cdot \varepsilon^\pm(k_s; r_s) = 0$ and the relation $\gamma^\mu\not{p} = p_\nu(\eta^{\mu\nu} + [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]/2)$ (for an arbitrary momentum p), the current A^μ_{n+3} can be cast as,

$$\begin{aligned} A^\mu_{n+3}\left(k_s; k_{\bar{q}}, k_q, k_1, \ldots, k_n\right) = &\frac{k^\mu_{\bar{q}}}{\sqrt{2}k_{\bar{q}}\cdot k_s}\overline{u}(k_q)\tilde{A}(k_{\bar{q}}+k_s,\ k_q,\ k_1, \ldots, k_n)v(k_{\bar{q}}) \\ &+\frac{i\,k_{s\nu}}{\sqrt{2}k_{\bar{q}}\cdot k_s}\overline{u}(k_q)\tilde{A}(k_{\bar{q}}+k_s,\ k_q,\ k_1, \ldots, k_n)\Sigma_F^{\mu\nu}v(k_{\bar{q}}) \\ &-\frac{k^\mu_q}{\sqrt{2}k_q\cdot k_s}\overline{u}(k_q)\tilde{A}(k_{\bar{q}},\ k_q+k_s,\ k_1, \ldots, k_n)v(k_{\bar{q}}) \\ &+\frac{i\,k_{s\nu}}{\sqrt{2}k_q\cdot k_s}\overline{u}(k_q)\,\Sigma_F^{\mu\nu}\,\tilde{A}(k_{\bar{q}},\ k_q+k_s,\ k_1, \ldots, k_n)v(k_{\bar{q}}) \\ &+N^\mu_{n+3}(k_s; k_{\bar{q}}, k_q, k_1, \ldots, k_n)\ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where

$$\Sigma_F^{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{i}{4}\left[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\right] \tag{3.12}$$

is the spin operator in a 4-dimensional representation of the Lorentz algebra corresponding to spin 1/2. Following [1, 7], we can determine N^μ by imposing gauge invariance. In fact, the condition

$$k_{s\,\mu}\, A^\mu_{n+3}(k_s; k_{\bar{q}}, k_q, k_1, \ldots, k_n) = 0\ , \tag{3.13}$$

together with on-shell massless condition $k_s^2 = 0$, implies

$$k_{s\,\mu} N^{\mu}_{n+3}(0; k_q, k_{\bar{q}}, k_1, \ldots, k_n) = -\frac{k_{s\,\mu}}{\sqrt{2}} \overline{u}(k_q) \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial k_{\bar{q}\mu}} \tilde{A}(k_{\bar{q}},\, k_q,\, k_1, \ldots, k_n) - \frac{\partial}{\partial k_{q\mu}} \tilde{A}(k_{\bar{q}},\, k_q,\, k_1, \ldots, k_n) \right] v(k_{\bar{q}}) \ . \quad (3.14)$$

Consequently, we can write A^{μ}_{n+3} as,

$$\begin{aligned} A^{\mu}_{n+3}(k_s; k_{\bar{q}}, k_q, k_1, \ldots, k_n) = & \left(\frac{k^{\mu}_{\bar{q}}}{\sqrt{2} k_{\bar{q}} \cdot k_s} - \frac{k^{\mu}_{q}}{\sqrt{2} k_q \cdot k_s} \right) \overline{u}(k_q) \tilde{A}(k_{\bar{q}},\, k_q,\, k_1, \ldots, k_n) v(k_{\bar{q}}) \\ & + \frac{i\, k_{s\nu}}{\sqrt{2} k_{\bar{q}} \cdot k_s} \overline{u}(k_q) \tilde{A}(k_{\bar{q}},\, k_q,\, k_1, \ldots, k_n) \Sigma_F^{\mu\nu} v(k_{\bar{q}}) \\ & + \frac{i\, k_{s\nu}}{\sqrt{2} k_q \cdot k_s} \overline{u}(k_q)\, \Sigma_F^{\mu\nu}\, \tilde{A}(k_{\bar{q}},\, k_q,\, k_1, \ldots, k_n) v(k_{\bar{q}}) \\ & - \frac{i\, k_{s\,\nu}}{\sqrt{2} k_{\bar{q}} \cdot k_s} \overline{u}(k_q) \left[i \left(k^{\mu}_{\bar{q}} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_{\bar{q}\nu}} - k^{\nu}_{\bar{q}} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_{\bar{q}\mu}} \right) \tilde{A}(k_{\bar{q}},\, k_q,\, k_1, \ldots, k_n) \right] v(k_{\bar{q}}) \\ & + \frac{i\, k_{s\,\nu}}{\sqrt{2} k_q \cdot k_s} \overline{u}(k_q) \left[i \left(k^{\mu}_{q} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_{q\nu}} - k^{\nu}_{q} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_{q\mu}} \right) \tilde{A}(k_{\bar{q}},\, k_q,\, k_1, \ldots, k_n) \right] v(k_{\bar{q}}) \\ & + \mathcal{O}(k_s) \ . \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Then, we contract back the polarisation vector of the soft-photon $\varepsilon^{+}_{\mu}(k_s; r_s)$, obtaining the following expression of the amplitude,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n+3}(k_s; k_{\bar{q}}, k_q, k_1, \ldots, k_n) = & \left(\frac{\varepsilon^{+}(k_s; r_s) \cdot k_{\bar{q}}}{\sqrt{2} k_{\bar{q}} \cdot k_s} - \frac{\varepsilon^{+}(k_s; r_s) \cdot k_q}{\sqrt{2} k_q \cdot k_s} \right) A_{n+2}(k_s; k_{\bar{q}}, k_q, k_1, \ldots, k_n) \\ & + \frac{i\, \varepsilon^{+}_{\mu}(k_s; r_s)\, k_{s\nu}}{\sqrt{2} k_{\bar{q}} \cdot k_s} \overline{u}(k_q) \tilde{A}(k_{\bar{q}},\, k_q,\, k_1, \ldots, k_n) \Sigma_F^{\mu\nu} v(k_{\bar{q}}) \\ & + \frac{i\, \varepsilon^{+}_{\mu}(k_s; r_s)\, k_{s\nu}}{\sqrt{2} k_q \cdot k_s} \overline{u}(k_q)\, \Sigma_F^{\mu\nu}\, \tilde{A}(k_{\bar{q}},\, k_q,\, k_1, \ldots, k_n) v(k_{\bar{q}}) \\ & - \frac{i\, \varepsilon^{+}_{\mu}(k_s; r_s)\, k_{s\,\nu}}{\sqrt{2}} \overline{u}(k_q) \left[\left(\frac{L^{\mu\nu}_{\bar{q}}}{k_{\bar{q}} \cdot k_s} - \frac{L^{\mu\nu}_{q}}{k_q \cdot k_s} \right) \tilde{A}(k_{\bar{q}},\, k_q,\, k_1, \ldots, k_n) \right] v(k_{\bar{q}}) \\ & + \mathcal{O}(k_s) \ , \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

with $A_{n+2}(k_s; k_{\bar{q}}, k_q, k_1, \ldots, k_n) = \overline{u}(k_q) \tilde{A}(k_{\bar{q}},\, k_q,\, k_1, \ldots, k_n) v(k_{\bar{q}})$ being the lower-point, non-radiative amplitude. In the above expression,

$$L^{\mu\nu}_{f_i} = i \left(k^{\mu}_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_{i\nu}} - k^{\nu}_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_{i\mu}} \right) \ , \quad (3.17)$$

is the orbital angular momentum of fermion i, which does not act on Dirac fields of incoming/outgoing spin 1/2 particles, namely

$$L^{\mu\nu}_{f_i} u_\pm(k_i) = L^{\mu\nu}_{f_i} v_\pm(k_i) = \overline{u}_\pm(k_i) L^{\mu\nu}_{f_i} = \overline{v}_\pm(k_i) L^{\mu\nu}_{f_i} = 0 \ . \tag{3.18}$$

On the other hand, the Lorentz generators $\Sigma^{\mu\nu}_F$ of spin 1/2 only act on the Dirac fields $u_\pm(k_i)$ or $v_\pm(k_i)$ ($\overline{u}_\pm(k_i)$ or $\overline{v}_\pm(k_i)$).

3.3 Connection between the two derivations

We will momentarily proof the equivalence of the limiting behaviour of quark-gluon amplitudes in the soft-photon emission regime obtained in (3.6) from BCFW recurrence, and in (3.16) from gauge invariance.

A. Leading soft singularity

The leading singularity factor of order $1/k_s$ in (3.16) can be denoted as

$$S^{(0)} = \frac{\varepsilon^+(k_s; r_s)\cdot k_{\bar{q}}}{\sqrt{2} k_{\bar{q}}\cdot k_s} - \frac{\varepsilon^+(k_s; r_s)\cdot k_q}{\sqrt{2} k_q\cdot k_s}. \tag{3.19}$$

In spinorial notations, we indeed find,

$$S^{(0)} = \frac{\varepsilon^+(k_s; r_s)\cdot k_{\bar{q}}}{\sqrt{2} k_{\bar{q}}\cdot k_s} - \frac{\varepsilon^+(k_s; r_s)\cdot k_q}{\sqrt{2} k_q\cdot k_s} = \frac{\langle q,\, \bar{q}\rangle}{\langle \bar{q},\, s\rangle\, \langle s,\, q\rangle} = \, -S^{(0)\lambda} \ , \tag{3.20}$$

where $S^{(0)\lambda}$ was defined (3.7). This prove the equivalence of the leading soft term in the two approaches (up to an overall sign)

B. Next-to-leading soft singularity

The action of the differential operator $S^{(1)\lambda}$, defined in (3.8), on the lower-point amplitude $A_{n+2}(\bar{q},\, q,\, g_1\, \ldots,\, g_n)$ can be written as,

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(1)\lambda} A_{n+2}(\bar{q},\, q,\, g_1\, \ldots,\, g_n) &= S^{(1)\lambda}\left[\overline{u}(k_q)\tilde{A}(k_{\bar{q}},\, k_q,\, k_1,\, \ldots,\, k_n)\, v(k_{\bar{q}})\right] \\ &= \overline{u}(k_q)\tilde{A}(k_{\bar{q}},\, k_q,\, k_1,\, \ldots,\, k_n)\, \left[S^{(1)\lambda}\, v(k_{\bar{q}})\right] \\ &\quad + \left[S^{(1)\lambda}\overline{u}(k_q)\right]\, \tilde{A}(k_{\bar{q}},\, k_q,\, k_1,\, \ldots,\, k_n)\, v(k_{\bar{q}}) \\ &\quad + \overline{u}(k_q)\, \left[S^{(1)\lambda}\, \tilde{A}(k_{\bar{q}},\, k_q,\, k_1,\, \ldots,\, k_n)\right]\, v(k_{\bar{q}})\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

On the other hand, the next-to-leading soft singularity as derived in sec. 3.2 is

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n+3}(k_s; k_{\bar{q}}, k_q, k_1, \ldots, k_n)\big|_{S^{(1)}} &= \frac{i\,\varepsilon^+_\mu(k_s; r_s)\, k_{s\nu}}{\sqrt{2} k_{\bar{q}}\cdot k_s}\overline{u}(k_q)\tilde{A}(k_{\bar{q}},\, k_q,\, k_1, \ldots, k_n)\Sigma^{\mu\nu}_F\, v(k_{\bar{q}}) \\ &\quad + \frac{i\,\varepsilon^+_\mu(k_s; r_s)\, k_{s\nu}}{\sqrt{2} k_q\cdot k_s}\overline{u}(k_q)\, \Sigma^{\mu\nu}_F\, \tilde{A}(k_{\bar{q}},\, k_q,\, k_1, \ldots, k_n) v(k_{\bar{q}}) \end{aligned}$$

$$-i\frac{\varepsilon_\mu^+(k_s;r_s)\,k_{s\,\nu}}{\sqrt{2}}\overline{u}(k_q)\left[\left(\frac{L_{\bar{q}}^{\mu\nu}}{k_{\bar{q}}\cdot k_s}-\frac{L_q^{\mu\nu}}{k_q\cdot k_s}\right)\tilde{A}(k_{\bar{q}},\,k_q,\,k_1,\ldots,k_n)\right]v(k_{\bar{q}})\,. \tag{3.22}$$

We proceed by identifying (3.21) and (3.22) term by term.

- **Proposition 1:**

$$S^{(1)\lambda}\,v(k_{\bar{q}})=-\left[\frac{i\;\varepsilon_\mu^+(k_s;r_s)\,k_{s\nu}}{\sqrt{2}k_{\bar{q}}\cdot k_s}\,\Sigma^{\mu\nu}\,v(k_{\bar{q}})\right]\,. \tag{3.23}$$

proof.

Outgoing antiquark with different helicities in terms of spinor notations are

$$h_{\bar{q}}=+\frac{1}{2},\qquad v_+(k_{\bar{q}})=\widetilde{\lambda}_{\bar{q}}^{\dot{\alpha}}=|\bar{q}]\,, \tag{3.24}$$

$$h_{\bar{q}}=-\frac{1}{2},\qquad v_-(k_{\bar{q}})=(\lambda_{\bar{q}})_\alpha=|\bar{q}\rangle\,. \tag{3.25}$$

For $h_{\bar{q}}=+\frac{1}{2}$ case, the action of next-to-soft operator on field $v_+(k_{\bar{q}})$ corresponding to the first term in (3.21) is

$$S^{(1)\lambda}\,v_+(k_{\bar{q}})=\left(\frac{1}{\langle s,q\rangle}\widetilde{\lambda}_s^{\dot{a}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\widetilde{\lambda}_q^{\dot{a}}}-\frac{1}{\langle s,\bar{q}\rangle}\widetilde{\lambda}_s^{\dot{a}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\widetilde{\lambda}_{\bar{q}}^{\dot{a}}}\right)\widetilde{\lambda}_{\bar{q}}^{\dot{b}}=-\frac{1}{\langle s,\,\bar{q}\rangle}|s]\,, \tag{3.26}$$

and the counter-part from (3.22) in terms of spinor notations is

$$\frac{i\;\varepsilon_\mu^+(k_s;r_s)\,k_{s\nu}}{\sqrt{2}k_{\bar{q}}\cdot k_s}\,\Sigma_F^{\mu\nu}\,v_+(k_{\bar{q}})=+\frac{1}{\langle s,\,\bar{q}\rangle}|s]\,. \tag{3.27}$$

The result of (3.26) and (3.27) differ only for a minus sign.

On the other side, for $h_{\bar{q}}=-\frac{1}{2}$ case

$$S^{(1)\lambda}\,v_-(k_{\bar{q}})=\frac{i\;\varepsilon_\mu^+(k_s;r_s)\,k_{s\nu}}{\sqrt{2}k_{\bar{q}}\cdot k_s}\,\Sigma^{\mu\nu}\,v_-(k_{\bar{q}})=0\,. \tag{3.28}$$

- **Proposition 2:**

$$S^{(1)\lambda}\,\overline{u}(k_q)\;=-\left[\overline{u}(k_q)\frac{i\;\varepsilon_\mu^+(k_s;r_s)\,k_{s\nu}}{\sqrt{2}k_q\cdot k_s}\,\Sigma_F^{\mu\nu}\right]\,. \tag{3.29}$$

proof.

Outgoing quark with different helicities in terms of spinor notations are

$$h=+\frac{1}{2},\qquad \overline{u}_+(k_q)=(\widetilde{\lambda}_q)_{\dot{a}}=[\bar{q}|\,, \tag{3.30}$$

$$h = -\frac{1}{2}, \qquad \overline{u}_-(k_q) = \lambda_q^a = \langle q| \,. \tag{3.31}$$

With a similar procedure dealing with outgoing antiquark, we have

$$S^{(1)\lambda}\,\overline{u}_+(k_q) \;= + \frac{1}{\langle s,\, q\rangle}[s| = -\left(\overline{u}_+(k_q)\frac{i\;\varepsilon_\mu^+(k_s;r_s)\,k_{s\nu}}{\sqrt{2}k_q\cdot k_s}\,\Sigma_F^{\mu\nu}\right)\,, \tag{3.32}$$

and

$$S^{(1)\lambda}\,\overline{u}_-(k_q) \;= \overline{u}_-(k_q)\frac{i\;\varepsilon_\mu^+(k_s;r_s)\,k_{s\nu}}{\sqrt{2}k_q\cdot k_s}\,\Sigma_F^{\mu\nu} = 0\,. \tag{3.33}$$

- **Proposition 3:**

$$S^{(1)\lambda}\tilde{A}(k_{\bar{q}},k_q,k_1,\ldots,k_n) = i\frac{\varepsilon_\mu^+(k_s;r_s)k_{s\nu}}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\left(\frac{L_{\bar{q}}^{\mu\nu}}{k_{\bar{q}}\cdot k_s}-\frac{L_q^{\mu\nu}}{k_q\cdot k_s}\right)\tilde{A}(k_{\bar{q}},k_q,k_1,\ldots,k_n)\right]\,. \tag{3.34}$$

proof.

$\widetilde{A}$ consists of gluon polarisation and momenta, of quark and antiquark momenta, and of γ matrices, and it can be expressed in terms of spinor chains.

Since tree-level amplitudes are rational functions of spinor products, we can focus on the action of the operators $S^{(1)\lambda}$ and $-ig\varepsilon_\mu^+(k_s;r_s)k_{s\,\nu}\left[L_{\bar{q}}^{\mu\nu}/(k_{\bar{q}}\cdot k_s)-L_q^{\mu\nu}/(k_q\cdot k_s)\right]/\sqrt{2}$ onto each ingredient separately.

The next-to-leading soft operator gives non-trivial result when acting on spinor products involving $\overline{q}$, as

$$S^{(1)\lambda}\,[\bullet,\bar{q}] = -\frac{1}{\langle s,\,\bar{q}\rangle}[\bullet,s]\,, \qquad\qquad S^{(1)\lambda}\,\frac{1}{[p,\bar{q}]} = +\frac{1}{[p,\,\bar{q}]}\frac{[p,\,s]}{\langle s,\,\bar{q}\rangle\,[p,\,\bar{q}]}\,. \tag{3.35}$$

On the other hand, the operator from gauge invariance acts on terms depending on the antiquark momentum, like

$$-\frac{i\,\varepsilon_\mu^+(k_s;r_s)\,k_{s\,\nu}}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{L_{\bar{q}}^{\mu\nu}}{k_{\bar{q}}\cdot k_s}-\frac{L_q^{\mu\nu}}{k_q\cdot k_s}\right)k_{\bar{q}}^\rho = +\frac{1}{\langle s,\,\bar{q}\rangle}\frac{\langle\bar{q}|\gamma^\rho|s]}{2}\,, \tag{3.36}$$

$$-\frac{i\,\varepsilon_\mu^+(k_s;r_s)\,k_{s\,\nu}}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{L_{\bar{q}}^{\mu\nu}}{k_{\bar{q}}\cdot k_s}-\frac{L_q^{\mu\nu}}{k_q\cdot k_s}\right)\frac{1}{p\cdot k_{\bar{q}}} = -\frac{1}{p\cdot k_{\bar{q}}}\frac{[p,\,s]}{\langle s,\,\bar{q}\rangle\,[p,\,\bar{q}]}\,. \tag{3.37}$$

The coefficients are identical up to an overall minus sign.

The same conclusion can be drawn from comparing the action of the subleading soft operators on the variables associated to quarks,

$$S^{(1)\lambda}\,[\bullet,q] = +\frac{1}{\langle s,\,q\rangle}[\bullet,s], \qquad\qquad S^{(1)\lambda}\,\frac{1}{[p,\,q]} = -\frac{1}{[p,\,q]}\frac{[p,\,s]}{\langle s,\,q\rangle\,[p,\,q]}\,, \tag{3.38}$$

and

$$-\frac{i\,\varepsilon_\mu^+(k_s;r_s)\,k_{s\,\nu}}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{L_{\bar{q}}^{\mu\nu}}{k_{\bar{q}}\cdot k_s}-\frac{L_q^{\mu\nu}}{k_q\cdot k_s}\right)k_q^\rho=-\frac{1}{\langle s,\,q\rangle}\frac{\langle q|\gamma^\rho|s]}{2}\,, \tag{3.39}$$

$$-\frac{i\,\varepsilon_\mu^+(k_s;r_s)\,k_{s\,\nu}}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{L_{\bar{q}}^{\mu\nu}}{k_{\bar{q}}\cdot k_s}-\frac{L_q^{\mu\nu}}{k_q\cdot k_s}\right)\frac{1}{p\cdot k_q}=+\frac{1}{p\cdot k_q}\frac{[p,\,s]}{\langle s,\,q\rangle\,[p,\,q]}\,. \tag{3.40}$$

This complete the proof that the (leading and sub-leading) soft operators derived from BCFW recurrence and gauge invariance are indeed equivalent (up to an overall minus sign).

3.4 Examples

We consider an amplitude with one quark-antiquark pair and gluons, and a plus-helicity photon emitted from the fermion line.

3.4.1 MHV and $\overline{\text{MHV}}$ amplitudes

- The MHV amplitude is

$$A_{n+3}(\Lambda_{\bar{q}}^+,\gamma_s^+,\Lambda_q^-,g_1^+,\cdots,g_I^-,\cdots,g_n^+)=\frac{i\,\langle q,\,I\rangle^3\,\langle\bar{q},\,I\rangle}{\langle\bar{q},\,s\rangle\,\langle s,\,q\rangle\cdots\langle n,\,\bar{q}\rangle}\,. \tag{3.41}$$

  By taking the holomorphic soft limit $|s\rangle\to\epsilon|s\rangle$, one gets,

$$A_{n+3}(\Lambda_{\bar{q}}^+,\gamma_s^+,\Lambda_q^-,g_1^+,\cdots,g_I^-,\cdots,g_n^+)\Big|_{|s\rangle\to\epsilon|s\rangle}=\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\frac{\langle\bar{q},\,q\rangle}{\langle\bar{q},\,s\rangle\,\langle s,\,q\rangle}\times\frac{i\,\langle q,\,I\rangle^3\,\langle\bar{q},\,I\rangle}{\langle\bar{q},\,q\rangle\,\langle 1,\,2\rangle\cdots\langle n,\,\bar{q}\rangle}\,. \tag{3.42}$$

  On the other hand, the action of the operators $S^{(0)\lambda}$ and $S^{(1)\lambda}$ on the lower-point, non-radiative amplitude, $A(\Lambda_{\bar{q}}^+,\Lambda_q^-,g_1^+,\cdots,g_I^-,\cdots,g_n^+)$ reads,

$$\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}S^{(0)\lambda}+\frac{1}{\epsilon}S^{(1)\lambda}\right)A_{n+2}(\Lambda_{\bar{q}}^+,\Lambda_q^-,g_1^+,\cdots,g_I^-,\cdots,g_n^+)=\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\frac{\langle\bar{q},\,q\rangle}{\langle\bar{q},\,s\rangle\,\langle s,\,q\rangle}\times\frac{i\,\langle q,\,I\rangle^3\,\langle\bar{q},\,I\rangle}{\langle\bar{q},\,q\rangle\,\langle 1,\,2\rangle\cdots\langle n,\,\bar{q}\rangle}\,. \tag{3.43}$$

  The two results are identical, and in particular, for the MHV amplitude, no next-to-soft contribution arises, because $S^{(1)\lambda}A_{n+2}(\Lambda_{\bar{q}}^+,\Lambda_q^-,g_1^+,\cdots,g_I^-,\cdots,g_n^+)=0$.

- The case of the $\overline{\text{MHV}}$ amplitude is trivial, because, since the amplitude has an anti-holomorphic expression,

$$A_{n+3}(\Lambda_{\bar{q}}^+,\gamma_s^+,\Lambda_q^-,g_1^-,\cdots,\cdots,g_n^-)=\frac{i\,[\bar{q},\,s]^3\,[q,\,s]}{[\bar{q},\,s]\,[s,\,q]\,[q,\,1]\,[1,\,2]\cdots[n,\,\bar{q}]}\,, \tag{3.44}$$

  the holomorphic soft limit $|s\rangle\to\epsilon|s\rangle$ has no effect. At the same time, the soft operators $S^{(0)\lambda}$ and $S^{(1)\lambda}$ should act on a vanishing amplitude, because the lower amplitude $A_{n+2}(\Lambda_{\bar{q}}^+,\Lambda_q^-,g_1^-,\cdots,\cdots,g_n^-)=0$.

3.4.2 NMHV 6–point amplitudes

The first non-trivial soft-behaviour can be found when considering NMHV helicity configurations of 6-point amplitudes. Let us consider the amplitude $A_6\left(\gamma_s^+,1_q^-,2_g^-,3_g^-,4_g^+,5_{\bar{q}}^+\right)\equiv A_6\left(s^+,1_q^-,2^-,3^-,4^+,5_{\bar{q}}^+\right)$, built from BCFW recursion,

$$A_6\left(s^+,1_q^-,2^-,3^-,4^+,5_{\bar{q}}^+\right)=\frac{i\,\langle 35\rangle\,\langle 3\,|4+5|\,s]^2}{P_{s12}^2\,\langle 34\rangle\,\langle 45\rangle\,\langle 5\,|s+1|\,2]\,[12]}+\frac{i\,\langle 1\,|s+5|\,4]^2\,\langle 5\,|s+1|\,4]}{P_{s15}^2\,\langle s1\rangle\,\langle s5\rangle\,\langle 5\,|s+1|\,2]\,[32]\,[43]}\,, \tag{3.45}$$

with $P_{ij}=k_i+k_j$ and $P_{ijl}=k_i+k_j+k_l$. We construct the soft limit, first by rescaling $|s\rangle\to\epsilon|s\rangle$,

$$\begin{aligned}&A_6\left(s^+,1_q^-,2^-,3^-,4^+,5_{\bar{q}}^+\right)\overset{|s\rangle\to\epsilon|s\rangle}{=}\\&=-\frac{i}{\epsilon\langle 5|s|2]+\langle 5|1|2]}\left(\frac{(\epsilon\langle 5|s|4]+\langle 5|1|4])(\epsilon\langle 1|s|4]+\langle 1|5|4])^2}{\epsilon^2[3|2][4|3]\langle s|1\rangle\langle s|5\rangle\left(\epsilon(P_{1s}^2+P_{5s}^2)+P_{15}^2\right)}+\frac{\langle 3|5\rangle\langle 3|4+5|s]^2}{[2|1]\langle 3|4\rangle\langle 4|5\rangle\left(\epsilon(P_{1s}^2+P_{2s}^2)+P_{12}^2\right)}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

and then, by expanding around $\epsilon\to 0$,

$$A_6\left(s^+,1_q^-,2^-,3^-,4^+,5_{\bar{q}}^+\right)\quad\overset{\epsilon\to 0}{=}\quad\frac{a_{-2}}{\epsilon^2}+\frac{a_{-1}}{\epsilon}+\mathcal{O}(1)\,, \tag{3.47}$$

with

$$a_{-2}=-\frac{i[4|1][5|4]^2\langle 1|5\rangle}{[2|1][3|2][4|3][5|1]\langle s|1\rangle\langle s|5\rangle}\,, \tag{3.48}$$

$$\begin{aligned}a_{-1}=&\frac{1}{\langle s|1\rangle}\left(-\frac{i[5|4]^2[4|s]}{[2|1][3|2][4|3][5|1]}+\frac{i[4|1][5|4]^2[2|s]}{[2|1]^2[3|2][4|3][5|1]}+\frac{i[4|1][5|4]^2[5|s]}{[2|1][3|2][4|3][5|1]^2}\right)\\&+\frac{1}{\langle s|5\rangle}\left(-\frac{i[5|4][4|1]^2[5|s]}{[2|1][3|2][4|3][5|1]^2}-\frac{i[5|4][4|1][4|s]}{[2|1][3|2][4|3][5|1]}\right)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.49}$$

Alternatively, the soft expansion can be obtained through the action of differential soft operators on the non-radiative 5-point amplitude, according to,

$$a_{-2}\;=\;S^{(0)\lambda}A_5(1_q^-,2^-,3^-,4^+,5_{\bar{q}}^+)\,, \tag{3.50}$$

$$a_{-1}\;=\;S^{(1)\lambda}A_5(1_q^-,2^-,3^-,4^+,5_{\bar{q}}^+)\,, \tag{3.51}$$

where, the operators $S^{(0)\lambda}$ and $S^{(1)\lambda}$, respectively defined in (3.7) and (3.8), read

$$S^{(0)\lambda}=\frac{\langle 1|5\rangle}{\langle s|1\rangle\langle s|5\rangle}\,, \tag{3.52}$$

$$S^{(1)\lambda}=\frac{1}{\langle s|1\rangle}\tilde{\lambda}_s^{\dot{a}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\lambda}_1^{\dot{a}}}-\frac{1}{\langle s|5\rangle}\tilde{\lambda}_s^{\dot{a}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\lambda}_5^{\dot{a}}}\,, \tag{3.53}$$

while the 5-point quark-gluon amplitude is,

$$A_5\left(1_q^-,2^-,3^-,4^+,5_{\bar{q}}^+\right)=\frac{i[1|4][5|4]^3}{[1|2][2|3][3|4][4|5][5|1]}\,. \tag{3.54}$$

In this case the leading soft singularity is,

$$a_{-2} = \frac{\langle 1|5\rangle}{\langle s|1\rangle\langle s|5\rangle} A_5\left(1_q^-, 2^-, 3^-, 4^+, 5_{\bar{q}}^+\right) = -\frac{i[4|1][5|4]^2\langle 1|5\rangle}{[2|1][3|2][4|3][5|1]\langle s|1\rangle\langle s|5\rangle} \tag{3.55}$$

in full agreement with the result of (3.48).
To compute the next-to-leading soft term, we combine the derivatives,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\langle s|1\rangle}\tilde{\lambda}_s^{\dot{a}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\lambda}_1^{\dot{a}}} A_5\left(1_q^-, 2^-, 3^-, 4^+, 5_{\bar{q}}^+\right) &= -\frac{1}{\langle s|1\rangle}\left(\frac{[4|2][1|s]}{[2|1][4|1]} + \frac{[5|s]}{[5|1]}\right) A_5\left(1_q^-, 2^-, 3^-, 4^+, 5_{\bar{q}}^+\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\langle s|1\rangle}\left(\frac{i[4|2][5|4]^2[1|s]}{[2|1]^2[3|2][4|3][5|1]} + \frac{i[4|1][5|4]^2[5|s]}{[2|1][3|2][4|3][5|1]^2}\right) ,\end{aligned} \tag{3.56}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{1}{\langle s|5\rangle}\tilde{\lambda}_s^{\dot{a}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\lambda}_5^{\dot{a}}} A_5\left(1_q^-, 2^-, 3^-, 4^+, 5_{\bar{q}}^+\right) &= \frac{1}{\langle s|5\rangle}\left(\frac{[4|s]}{[5|4]} + \frac{[4|1][5|s]}{[5|1][5|4]}\right) A_5\left(1_q^-, 2^-, 3^-, 4^+, 5_{\bar{q}}^+\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\langle s|5\rangle}\left(-\frac{i[5|4][4|1]^2[5|s]}{[2|1][3|2][4|3][5|1]^2} - \frac{i[5|4][4|1][4|s]}{[2|1][3|2][4|3][5|1]}\right) ,\end{aligned} \tag{3.57}$$

yielding,

$$a_{-1} = \frac{1}{\langle s|1\rangle}\left(\frac{i[4|2][5|4]^2[1|s]}{[2|1]^2[3|2][4|3][5|1]} + \frac{i[4|1][5|4]^2[5|s]}{[2|1][3|2][4|3][5|1]^2}\right) + \frac{1}{\langle s|5\rangle}\left(-\frac{i[5|4][4|1]^2[5|s]}{[2|1][3|2][4|3][5|1]^2} - \frac{i[5|4][4|1][4|s]}{[2|1][3|2][4|3][5|1]}\right) . \tag{3.58}$$

After applying the Schouten relation, the result in (3.49) becomes identical to (3.58).

The agreement between the direct soft-limit expansion and the application of the soft operators can be analogously verified for the other NMHV helicity configurations, $A_6\left(s^+, 1_q^-, 2^+, 3^-, 4^-, 5_{\bar{q}}^+\right)$ and $A_6\left(s^+, 1_q^-, 2^-, 3^+, 4^-, 5_{\bar{q}}^+\right)$.

4 Soft-limit of quark-gluon amplitudes

In this section, we discuss the low-energy behaviour of soft-gluon radiation from quark-gluon tree-level amplitudes. Depending on the position of the soft-gluon g_s within the colour-ordered amplitude, we may have three situations [18],

$$A_{n+3}\left(\Lambda_q; g_1, \cdots, g_n, g_s; \Lambda_{\bar{q}}\right) , \tag{4.1}$$

$$A_{n+3}\left(\Lambda_q; g_s, g_1, \cdots, g_n; \Lambda_{\bar{q}}\right) , \tag{4.2}$$

$$A_{n+3}\left(\Lambda_q; g_1, \cdots, g_m, g_s, g_{m+1}, \cdots, g_n; \Lambda_{\bar{q}}\right) . \tag{4.3}$$

The first two cases can be considered specular to each other, since they describe the soft-gluon adjacent to one fermion and one gluon, while the third case represents the soft-gluon adjacent to two gluons.

Therefore, we will consider as independent only the first and the third configurations, which are discussed in the followings. For both cases, by making use of the results in sections 2 and 3, we will establish the equivalence of the soft operators derived *via* gauge invariance and on-shell recurrence, representing the main result of this work.



Figure 3. Soft-gluon behaviour of quark-gluon amplitudes: case 1

4.1 Case 1: soft-gluon adjacent to the anti-quark and one gluon

The colour-ordered amplitude $A_{n+3}\left(\Lambda_q; g_1, \cdots, g_n, g_s; \Lambda_{\bar{q}}\right)$ describes the soft-gluon emitted from the external anti-quark $\overline{q}$ or from the external gluon g_n or from internal gluon lines between g_n and $\overline{q}$, and receives contributions from three types of diagrams, as shown in fig. 3.

Following the procedure presented in sec. 3, from on-shell recursion relation, we can derive the soft behaviour,

$$A_{n+3}\left(\Lambda_q; g_1, \cdots, g_n, g_s; \Lambda_{\bar{q}}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} S^{(0)\lambda}_{QCD} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} S^{(1)\lambda}_{QCD}\right) A_{n+2}\left(\Lambda_q; g_1, \cdots, g_n; \Lambda_{\bar{q}}\right) + \mathcal{O}(1)\ , \tag{4.4}$$

with

$$S^{(0)\lambda}_{QCD} = \frac{\langle n,\, \bar{q}\rangle}{\langle \bar{q},\, s\rangle \langle s,\, n\rangle}\,, \tag{4.5}$$

$$S^{(1)\lambda}_{QCD} = \frac{1}{\langle s, \bar{q}\rangle} \widetilde{\lambda}^{\dot{a}}_s \frac{\partial}{\partial \widetilde{\lambda}^{\dot{a}}_{\bar{q}}} - \frac{1}{\langle s, n\rangle} \widetilde{\lambda}^{\dot{a}}_s \frac{\partial}{\partial \widetilde{\lambda}^{\dot{a}}_n}\,, \tag{4.6}$$

and where A_{n+2} is the non-radiative quark-gluon amplitude.

Gauge invariance, on the other side, requires the amplitude to have the following expression,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n+3}(k_q; k_1, \ldots, k_n, k_s; k_{\bar{q}}) = &\left(\frac{\varepsilon^+(k_s; r_s)\cdot k_{\bar{q}}}{\sqrt{2} k_{\bar{q}}\cdot k_s} - \frac{\varepsilon^+(k_s; r_s)\cdot k_n}{\sqrt{2} k_n \cdot k_s}\right) A_{n+2}(k_q; k_1, \ldots, k_n; k_{\bar{q}}) \\ &+ \frac{i\,\varepsilon^+_\mu(k_s; r_s)\, k_{s\nu}}{\sqrt{2} k_q\cdot k_s} J^{\mu\nu}_{\mathrm{Gn}} \left[\overline{u}(k_q)\, \tilde{A}(k_q;\, k_1, \ldots, k_n; k_{\bar{q}}) v(k_{\bar{q}})\right] \\ &+ \frac{i\,\varepsilon^+_\mu(k_s; r_s)\, k_{s\nu}}{\sqrt{2} k_{\bar{q}}\cdot k_s} \overline{u}(k_q) \tilde{A}(k_q;\, k_1, \ldots, k_n; k_{\bar{q}}) \Sigma^{\mu\nu}_F v(k_{\bar{q}}) \end{aligned}$$

$$-\frac{i\,\varepsilon_\mu^+(k_s;r_s)\,k_{s\,\nu}}{\sqrt{2}}\overline{u}(k_q)\left[\frac{L_{\bar{q}}^{\mu\nu}}{k_{\bar{q}}\cdot k_s}\tilde{A}(k_q;\,k_1,\ldots,k_n;k_{\bar{q}})\right]v(k_{\bar{q}}) \tag{4.7}$$

with the non-radiative amplitude being $A_{n+2}(k_s;k_{\bar{q}},k_q,k_1,\ldots,k_n)=\overline{u}(k_q)\tilde{A}(k_{\bar{q}},\,k_q,\,k_1,\ldots,k_n)v(k_{\bar{q}})$. In the above expression, $J_{\mathrm{G}n}^{\mu\nu}$ is the total angular momentum for gluon g_n, defined in (2.9), while $L_{\bar{q}}^{\mu\nu}$ and $\Sigma_F^{\mu\nu}$ are respectively the orbital and spin angular momenta of anti-quark $\overline{q}$, given in (3.16).

The equivalence of the two derivation can be established as follows.

A. The leading soft singularity

The leading soft term coming from $S_{QCD}^{(0)\lambda}$ in (4.5) and $\left(\frac{\varepsilon^+(k_s;r_s)\cdot k_{\bar{q}}}{\sqrt{2}k_{\bar{q}}\cdot k_s}-\frac{\varepsilon^+(k_s;r_s)\cdot k_n}{\sqrt{2}k_n\cdot k_s}\right)$ in (4.7) agree with each other, once both are expressed in spinor variables, exactly as in (3.20).

B. The next-to-leading soft singularity

The expression (4.7), obtained from gauge invariance, contains two contributions:

1. the operator $\frac{i\,\varepsilon_\mu^+(k_s;r_s)\,k_{s\nu}}{\sqrt{2}k_q\cdot k_s}J_{\mathrm{G}n}^{\mu\nu}$, related to gluon g_n comes from fig. 3 (a) and (c). This term is equivalent to $-\frac{1}{\langle s,n\rangle}\widetilde{\lambda}_s^{\dot{a}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\widetilde{\lambda}_n^{\dot{a}}}$ of $S_{QCD}^{(1)\lambda}$ defined in (4.6). The proof comes from the equivalence in pure-gluon cases [7], whose result we recall in sec. 2, eqs. (2.10)-(2.13).
2. the operators $-\frac{i\,\varepsilon_\mu^+(k_s;r_s)\,k_{s\,\nu}}{\sqrt{2}k_{\bar{q}}\cdot k_s}L_{\bar{q}}^{\mu\nu}$ and $\frac{i\,\varepsilon_\mu^+(k_s;r_s)\,k_{s\nu}}{\sqrt{2}k_{\bar{q}}\cdot k_s}\Sigma_F^{\mu\nu}$ related to anti-quark $\overline{q}$ come from fig. 3 (b) and (c) According to discussions in sec 3.3, eqs.(3.26)-(3.28) and (3.35)-(3.37), the combination of these two terms is equivalent to $\frac{1}{\langle s,\bar{q}\rangle}\widetilde{\lambda}_s^{\dot{a}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\widetilde{\lambda}_{\bar{q}}^{\dot{a}}}$ in $S_{QCD}^{(1)\lambda}$ of (4.6).

Therefore we can consider the soft behaviour of (4.6) equivalent to (4.7).

4.2 Case 2: soft-gluon adjacent to two gluons

In the colour-ordered amplitude $A_{n+3}\left(\Lambda_q;g_1,\cdots,g_m,g_s,g_{m+1},\cdots,g_n;\Lambda_{\bar{q}}\right)$, the soft-gluon g_s can be emitted from either external gluons, or internal gluon lines between, or internal fermion line, as shown in fig. 4.



Figure 4. Soft-gluon behaviour of quark-gluon amplitudes: case 2

The proof of the equivalence between the derivation from the on-shell formalisms and from gauge-invariance is identical to one of the pure-gluon case [7]. The only difference being that, in the considered case, the term fixed by gauge invariance, called N in [7] and coming from fig. 1 (c) (see also sec. 3.2 and fig. 2 (c), for photon emission), receives now contributions from two pieces, corresponding to fig. 4 (c) and (d).

4.3 Examples

4.3.1 $A_6\left(1_q^-, 2_g^-, 3_g^-, 4_g^+, s_g^+, 5_{\bar{q}}^+\right)$

We derive the soft behaviour for a colour-ordered six-point NMHV amplitude corresponding to the case in sec. 4.1. Let us consider $A_6\left(1_q^-, 2_g^-, 3_g^-, 4_g^+, s_g^+, 5_{\bar{q}}^+\right) \equiv A_6\left(1_q^-, 2^-, 3^-, 4^+, s^+, 5_{\bar{q}}^+\right)$, where the amplitude is written in [22],

$$A_6\left(1_q^-, 2^-, 3^-, 4^+, s^+, 5_{\bar{q}}^+\right) = -\frac{i\langle 5|2+3|4]\langle 1|2+3|4]^2}{P_{234}^2[3|2][4|3]\langle 1|5\rangle\langle s|3+4|2]\langle s|5\rangle} - \frac{i\langle 3|s+4|1]\langle 3|s+4|5]^2}{P_{s34}^2[2|1][5|1]\langle 3|4\rangle\langle s|3+4|2]\langle s|4\rangle}\,. \tag{4.8}$$

We first construct the soft limit by rescaling $|s\rangle \to \epsilon\,|s\rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} &A_6\left(1_q^-, 2^-, 3^-, 4^+, s^+, 5_{\bar{q}}^+\right) \overset{|s\rangle\to\epsilon|s\rangle}{=} \\ &= \frac{i}{\epsilon^2\langle s|3+4|2]}\left(\frac{(\epsilon\langle 1|s|4] + \langle 1|5|4])^2(\epsilon\langle 5|s|4] + \langle 5|1|4])}{[3|2][4|3]\langle 1|5\rangle\langle s|5\rangle\left(\epsilon\langle s|1+5|s] + P_{15}^2\right)} - \frac{(\epsilon\langle 3|s|1] + \langle 3|4|1])(\epsilon\langle 3|s|5] + \langle 3|4|5])^2}{[2|1][5|1]\langle 3|4\rangle\langle s|4\rangle\left(\epsilon\langle s|3+4|s] + P_{34}^2\right)}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

and then by expanding around $\epsilon \to 0$

$$A_6\left(1_q^-, 2^-, 3^-, 4^+, s^+, 5_{\bar{q}}^+\right) = \frac{a_{-2}}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{a_{-1}}{\epsilon} + \mathcal{O}(1)\,, \tag{4.10}$$

with

$$a_{-2} = -\frac{i[5|4]^2\langle 3|4|1]}{[2|1][5|1]\langle s|3+4|2]\langle s|4|3]} - \frac{i[4|5|1|4][5|4]}{[3|2][4|3]\langle s|3+4|2]\langle s|5|1]}\,, \tag{4.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_{-1} = &-\frac{i[5|4]^2\langle 3|s|1]}{[2|1][5|1]\langle s|3+4|2]\langle s|4|3]} + \frac{i[5|4]^2[4|s]}{[3|2][4|3][5|1]\langle s|3+4|2]} + \frac{i[4|1][5|4]^2\langle s|3|s]}{[2|1][4|3][5|1]\langle s|3+4|2]\langle s|4|3]} \\ &- \frac{i[4|1][5|4]^2\langle s|1|s]}{[3|2][4|3][5|1]\langle s|3+4|2]\langle s|5|1]} + \frac{i[4|1][5|4]^2[4|s]}{[2|1][4|3]^2[5|1]\langle s|3+4|2]} - \frac{i[4|1][5|4]^2[5|s]}{[3|2][4|3][5|1]^2\langle s|3+4|2]} \\ &+ \frac{2i[5|4][4|1|s|4]}{[3|2][4|3]\langle s|3+4|2]\langle s|5|1]} + \frac{2i[4|1][5|4]\langle 3|s|5]}{[2|1][5|1]\langle s|3+4|2]\langle s|4|3]}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

As was done in the previous example, soft expansion is obtained by the action of differential soft operators on the non-radiative 5-point amplitude as

$$a_{-2} = S^{(0)\lambda} A_5\left(1_q^-, 2^-, 3^-, 4^+, 5_{\bar{q}}^+\right)\,, \tag{4.13}$$

$$a_{-1} = S^{(1)\lambda} A_5\left(1_q^-, 2^-, 3^-, 4^+, 5_{\bar{q}}^+\right) , \tag{4.14}$$

where operators $S^{(0)\lambda}$ and $S^{(1)\lambda}$ read

$$S^{(0)\lambda} = \frac{\langle 4|5\rangle}{\langle s|4\rangle\langle s|5\rangle} , \tag{4.15}$$

$$S^{(1)\lambda} = \frac{1}{\langle s|5\rangle}\tilde{\lambda}_s^{\dot{a}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\lambda}_5^{\dot{a}}} - \frac{1}{\langle s|4\rangle}\tilde{\lambda}_s^{\dot{a}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\lambda}_4^{\dot{a}}} . \tag{4.16}$$

The leading soft singularity is

$$a_{-2} = \frac{\langle 4|5\rangle}{\langle s|4\rangle\langle s|5\rangle} A_5\left(1_q^-, 2^-, 3^-, 4^+, 5_{\bar{q}}^+\right) = \frac{i[4|1][5|4]^2\langle 4|5\rangle}{[2|1][3|2][4|3][5|1]\langle s|4\rangle\langle s|5\rangle} , \tag{4.17}$$

and to compute the next-to-leading order soft term, we combine the derivatives

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\langle s|5\rangle}\tilde{\lambda}_s^{\dot{a}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\lambda}_5^{\dot{a}}} A_5\left(1_q^-, 2^-, 3^-, 4^+, 5_{\bar{q}}^+\right) &= \frac{1}{\langle s|5\rangle}\left(\frac{[4|1][5|s]}{[5|1][5|4]} - \frac{[4|s]}{[5|4]}\right) A_5\left(1_q^-, 2^-, 3^-, 4^+, 5_{\bar{q}}^+\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\langle s|5\rangle}\left(\frac{i[4|1][5|4][4|s]}{[2|1][3|2][4|3][5|1]} - \frac{i[4|1]^2[5|4][5|s]}{[2|1][3|2][4|3][5|1]^2}\right) ,\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{1}{\langle s|4\rangle}\tilde{\lambda}_s^{\dot{a}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\lambda}_4^{\dot{a}}} A_5\left(1_q^-, 2^-, 3^-, 4^+, 5_{\bar{q}}^+\right) &= -\frac{1}{\langle s|4\rangle}\left(\frac{[1|s]}{[1|4]} - \frac{[3|s]}{[3|4]} + \frac{2[5|s]}{[5|4]}\right) A_5\left(1_q^-, 2^-, 3^-, 4^+, 5_{\bar{q}}^+\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\langle s|4\rangle}\left(-\frac{i[5|4]^2[1|s]}{[2|1][3|2][4|3][5|1]} + \frac{i[4|1][5|4]^2[3|s]}{[2|1][3|2][4|3]^2[5|1]} + \frac{2i[4|1][5|4][5|s]}{[2|1][3|2][4|3][5|1]}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

yielding

$$\begin{aligned}a_{-1} = &\frac{1}{\langle s|5\rangle}\left(\frac{i[4|1][5|4][4|s]}{[2|1][3|2][4|3][5|1]} - \frac{i[4|1]^2[5|4][5|s]}{[2|1][3|2][4|3][5|1]^2}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\langle s|4\rangle}\left(-\frac{i[5|4]^2[1|s]}{[2|1][3|2][4|3][5|1]} + \frac{i[4|1][5|4]^2[3|s]}{[2|1][3|2][4|3]^2[5|1]} + \frac{2i[4|1][5|4][5|s]}{[2|1][3|2][4|3][5|1]}\right) .\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

Eqs. (4.11) and (4.12) agree numerically with (4.17) and (4.20) respectively.

4.3.2 $A_6\left(1_q^-, 2_g^-, 3_g^-, s_g^+, 4_g^+, 5_{\bar{q}}^+\right)$

We derive the soft behaviour for a color ordered six-point NMHV amplitude corresponding to the case in sec. 4.2. Let us consider $A_6\left(1_q^-, 2_g^-, 3_g^-, s_g^+, 4_g^+, 5_{\bar{q}}^+\right) \equiv A_6\left(1_q^-, 2^-, 3^-, s^+, 4^+, 5_{\bar{q}}^+\right)$, where the amplitude is written in [22],

$$A_6\left(1_q^-, 2^-, 3^-, s^+, 4^+, 5_{\bar{q}}^+\right) = \frac{i\langle 1|2+3|s]^2\langle 5|2+3|s]}{P_{s23}^2[3|2]\langle 1|5\rangle\langle 4|5\rangle[3|s]\langle 4|s+3|2]} - \frac{i\langle 3|s+4|1]\langle 3|s+4|5]^2}{P_{s34}^2[2|1][5|1]\langle 4|s+3|2]\langle s|3\rangle\langle s|4\rangle} .$$

We first construct the soft limit by rescaling $|s\rangle \to \epsilon\,|s\rangle$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&A_6\left(1_q^-,2^-,3^-,s^+,4^+,5_{\bar{q}}^+\right) \overset{|s\rangle\to\epsilon|s\rangle}{=} \\
&= \frac{i}{\epsilon\langle 4|s|2]+\langle 4|3|2]}\left(\frac{\langle 1|2+3|s]^2\langle 5|2+3|s]}{[3|2]\langle 1|5\rangle\langle 4|5\rangle[3|s]\left(\epsilon\langle s|2+3|s]+P_{23}^2\right)}-\frac{(\epsilon\langle 3|s|1]+\langle 3|4|1])(\epsilon\langle 3|s|5]+\langle 3|4|5])^2}{\epsilon^2[2|1][5|1]\langle s|3\rangle\langle s|4\rangle\left(\epsilon\langle s|3+4|s]+P_{34}^2\right)}\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.21}
$$

and then by expanding around $\epsilon \to 0$

$$
A_6\left(1_q^-,2^-,3^-,s^+,4^+,5_{\bar{q}}^+\right) = \frac{a_{-2}}{\epsilon^2}+\frac{a_{-1}}{\epsilon}+\mathcal{O}\left(1\right) \tag{4.22}
$$

with

$$
a_{-2} = \frac{i[4|1][5|4]^2\langle 3|4\rangle}{[2|1][3|2][4|3][5|1]\langle s|3\rangle\langle s|4\rangle}\,, \tag{4.23}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{-1} = &\frac{1}{\langle s|3\rangle}\left(\frac{i[4|1][5|4]^2[2|s]}{[2|1][3|2]^2[4|3][5|1]}-\frac{i[4|1][5|4]^2[4|s]}{[2|1][3|2][4|3]^2[5|1]}\right) \\
&+\frac{1}{\langle s|4\rangle}\left(\frac{i[5|4]^2[1|s]}{[2|1][3|2][4|3][5|1]}-\frac{i[4|1][5|4]^2[3|s]}{[2|1][3|2][4|3]^2[5|1]}-\frac{2i[4|1][5|4][5|s]}{[2|1][3|2][4|3][5|1]}\right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.24}
$$

The soft expansion is obtained by the action of differential soft operators on the non-radiative 5-point amplitude as

$$
a_{-2} = S^{(0)\lambda}A_5\left(1_q^-,2^-,3^-,4^+,5_{\bar{q}}^+\right), \tag{4.25}
$$

$$
a_{-1} = S^{(1)\lambda}A_5\left(1_q^-,2^-,3^-,4^+,5_{\bar{q}}^+\right), \tag{4.26}
$$

where operators $S^{(0)\lambda}$ and $S^{(1)\lambda}$ read

$$
S^{(0)\lambda} = \frac{\langle 4|3\rangle}{\langle s|4\rangle\langle s|3\rangle}\,, \tag{4.27}
$$

$$
S^{(1)\lambda} = \frac{1}{\langle s|4\rangle}\tilde{\lambda}_s^{\dot{a}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\lambda}_4^{\dot{a}}}-\frac{1}{\langle s|3\rangle}\tilde{\lambda}_s^{\dot{a}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\lambda}_3^{\dot{a}}}\,. \tag{4.28}
$$

The leading soft singularity is

$$
a_{-2} = \frac{\langle 3|4\rangle}{\langle s|4\rangle\langle 3|s\rangle}A_5\left(1_q^-,2^-,3^-,4^+,5_{\bar{q}}^+\right) = \frac{i[4|1][5|4]^2\langle 4|5\rangle}{[2|1][3|2][4|3][5|1]\langle s|4\rangle\langle s|5\rangle}\,, \tag{4.29}
$$

which agrees with the result of (4.23).

For the next-to-leading order soft term, we compute the derivatives

$$
\frac{1}{\langle s|4\rangle}\tilde{\lambda}_s^{\dot{a}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\lambda}_4^{\dot{a}}}A_5\left(1_q^-,2^-,3^-,4^+,5_{\bar{q}}^+\right) = \frac{1}{\langle s|4\rangle}\left(\frac{[1|s]}{[1|4]}-\frac{[3|s]}{[3|4]}+\frac{2[5|s]}{[5|4]}\right)A_5\left(1_q^-,2^-,3^-,4^+,5_{\bar{q}}^+\right)
$$

$$= \frac{1}{\langle s|4\rangle}\left(\frac{i[5|4]^2[1|s]}{[2|1][3|2][4|3][5|1]} - \frac{i[4|1][5|4]^2[3|s]}{[2|1][3|2][4|3]^2[5|1]} - \frac{2i[4|1][5|4][5|s]}{[2|1][3|2][4|3][5|1]}\right) \tag{4.30}$$

$$-\frac{1}{\langle s|3\rangle}\tilde{\lambda}_s^{\dot{a}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\lambda}_3^{\dot{a}}}A_5\left(1_q^-,2^-,3^-,4^+,5_{\bar{q}}^+\right) = -\frac{1}{\langle s|3\rangle}\left(-\frac{[2|s]}{[2|3]} - \frac{[4|s]}{[4|3]}\right)A_5\left(1_q^-,2^-,3^-,4^+,5_{\bar{q}}^+\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\langle s|3\rangle}\left(\frac{i[4|1][5|4]^2[2|s]}{[2|1][3|2]^2[4|3][5|1]} - \frac{i[4|1][5|4]^2[4|s]}{[2|1][3|2][4|3]^2[5|1]}\right) \tag{4.31}$$

yielding

$$a_{-1} = \frac{1}{\langle s|3\rangle}\left(\frac{i[4|1][5|4]^2[2|s]}{[2|1][3|2]^2[4|3][5|1]} - \frac{i[4|1][5|4]^2[4|s]}{[2|1][3|2][4|3]^2[5|1]}\right)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{\langle s|4\rangle}\left(\frac{i[5|4]^2[1|s]}{[2|1][3|2][4|3][5|1]} - \frac{i[4|1][5|4]^2[3|s]}{[2|1][3|2][4|3]^2[5|1]} - \frac{2i[4|1][5|4][5|s]}{[2|1][3|2][4|3][5|1]}\right) \tag{4.32}$$

in full agreement with (4.24).

5 Conclusion

We have shown that the low-energy behaviour of radiative tree-level amplitudes in QCD, when the momentum of the emitted particle becomes soft, is governed by the non-radiative process and depends on the quantum data of the emitter. The low-energy expansion is captured by universal operators, whose form is dictated by gauge invariance. While the well known leading soft term is expressed as an eikonal factor, the subleading soft term depends on the total angular momentum of the emitter. We have shown that, within the spinor formalism, remarkably, the subleading soft operator of single-gluon emission from quark-gluon amplitudes appears as a differential operator whose form does not depend on the spin of the emitter. Our result, derived from gauge invariance and on-shell recursive construction, is, therefore, in line with the results recently derived for pure gluon- and graviton-scattering.

Acknowledgement

We thank Zvi Bern for stimulating discussions, and Mingxing Luo, Valery Yundin and Gionata Luisoni for clarifying considerations. H.L. is supported by the ERC Advanced Grant no. 267985 (DaMeSyFla). The work of P.M. is supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, in the framework of the Sofja Kovalevskaja Award 2010, endowed by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research. W.J.T. is supported by Fondazione Cassa di Risparmio di Padova e Rovigo (CARIPARO).

A Low-energy regular terms

By following similar arguments in [3], we can show that the third term in (3.3) is regular in the soft limit.

The terms contributing to the regular part of the soft expansions in (3.3) come from poles of $A_{n+1}(z)/z$ located at solutions of

$$(k_s(z) + k_q + k_{\bar{q}} + k_{a_1} + \cdots + k_{a_m})^2 = 0 \tag{A.1}$$

with $m \geq 0$ ($m = 0$ means no non-soft gluon on the same side of soft-gluon). Denote $Q_{q\bar{q}m} = k_q + k_{\bar{q}} + k_{a_1} + \cdots + k_{a_m}$. The solution to (A.1) is

$$z^* = -\frac{(k_s + Q_{q\bar{q}m})^2}{2p \cdot Q_{q\bar{q}m}} \tag{A.2}$$

With $p = |n\rangle|n]$ and $p \cdot k_s = 0$. The terms contributing to non-3 point structures are

$$\sum_{h_I = \pm 1} A_L\left(\Lambda_{\bar{q}}, \widehat{\gamma_s^+}, \Lambda_q, g_1, \ldots, g_m, \widehat{I}\right) \frac{1}{(k_s + Q_{q\bar{q}m})^2} A_R\left(-\widehat{I}, g_{m+1}, \ldots, \widehat{g_n}\right) \tag{A.3}$$

While taking limit $|s\rangle \to 0$, one can treat z^* value as

$$z^* = -\frac{Q_{q\bar{q}m}^2}{2p \cdot Q_{q\bar{q}m}} \quad k_I = Q_{q\bar{q}m} - \frac{Q_{q\bar{q}m}^2}{2p \cdot Q_{q\bar{q}m}} p \tag{A.4}$$

k_I is the projection of Q_m along the null direction defined by p and null as well.

The left amplitude in the limit $|s\rangle \to 0$ is

$$A_L\left(\Lambda_{\bar{q}}, \widehat{\gamma_s^+}, \Lambda_q, g_1, \ldots, g_m, \widehat{I}\right) \to A_L\left(\Lambda_{\bar{q}}, z^* p, \Lambda_q, g_1, \ldots, g_m, \widehat{I}\right) \tag{A.5}$$

This amplitude with $z^* p$ momenta in the soft limit contains no singularities, i.e., soft, collinear or multi-particle singularities, thus is all finite. Since $Q_{q\bar{q}m} \neq 0$, which is not the case for singularity part in the limit.

On the other hand, the right amplitude under the limit is

$$A_R\left(-\widehat{I}, g_{m+1}, \ldots, g_{n-1}, \widehat{g_n}\right) \to A_R\left(-\widehat{I}, g_{m+1}, \ldots, g_{n-1}, k_n(z^*)\right) \tag{A.6}$$

with $k_n(z^*) = k_n - z^* p$. The only possible dangerous term is remaining single gluon except g_n, say $m + 1 = n - 1$ above. Then the right amplitude becomes

$$A_R\left(\{k_I, -h_I\}, \{k_{n-1}, h_{n-1}\}, \{k_n(z^*), h_n\}\right) \tag{A.7}$$

From momentum conservation $k_s + Q_{q\bar{q}m} + k_{n-1} + k_n$, we have $Q_{q\bar{q}m} = -k_s - k_{n-1} - k_n$ and moreover, under the limit $k_s \to 0$, we get $Q_{q\bar{q}m} = -k_{n-1} - k_n$. In this case, we can do the computation from the deformation in right amplitude

$$k_I = \left(-|n-1\rangle - \frac{[s,n]}{[s,n-1]}|n\rangle\right)|n-1], \tag{A.8}$$

$$k_n(z^*) = \frac{[s,n]}{[s,n-1]}|n\rangle|n-1] \tag{A.9}$$

Notice all fermion lines are in the left amplitude, the right amplitude contains only pure-gluon interactions. If the right amplitude includes two positive helicities and one negative helicity, it vanished directly; if the right amplitude includes two negative herlicities and one positive helicity, the contribution is finite by taking only the holomorphic component of the soft limit $|s\rangle \to 0$.

We can, therefore, conclude that singularities only appear in the first two terms of (3.3), as considered in sec. 3.

References

[1] F. Low, *Bremsstrahlung of very low-energy quanta in elementary particle collisions*, *Phys.Rev.* **110** (1958) 974–977.

[2] S. Weinberg, *Photons and Gravitons in s Matrix Theory: Derivation of Charge Conservation and Equality of Gravitational and Inertial Mass*, *Phys.Rev.* **135** (1964) B1049–B1056.

[3] F. Cachazo and A. Strominger, *Evidence for a New Soft Graviton Theorem*, arXiv:1404.4091.

[4] E. Casali, *Soft sub-leading divergences in Yang-Mills amplitudes*, arXiv:1404.5551.

[5] R. Britto, F. Cachazo, and B. Feng, *New recursion relations for tree amplitudes of gluons*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B715** (2005) 499–522, [hep-th/0412308].

[6] R. Britto, F. Cachazo, B. Feng, and E. Witten, *Direct proof of tree-level recursion relation in Yang-Mills theory*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **94** (2005) 181602, [hep-th/0501052].

[7] Z. Bern, S. Davies, P. Di Vecchia, and J. Nohle, *Low-Energy Behavior of Gluons and Gravitons from Gauge Invariance*, arXiv:1406.6987.

[8] C. White, *Diagrammatic insights into next-to-soft corrections*, arXiv:1406.7184.

[9] E. Laenen, L. Magnea, G. Stavenga, and C. D. White, *Next-to-eikonal corrections to soft gluon radiation: a diagrammatic approach*, *JHEP* **1101** (2011) 141, [arXiv:1010.1860].

[10] B. U. W. Schwab and A. Volovich, *Subleading soft theorem in arbitrary dimension from scattering equations*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **113** (2014) 101601, [arXiv:1404.7749].

[11] N. Afkhami-Jeddi, *Soft Graviton Theorem in Arbitrary Dimensions*, arXiv:1405.3533.

[12] Z. Bern, S. Davies, and J. Nohle, *On Loop Corrections to Subleading Soft Behavior of Gluons and Gravitons*, arXiv:1405.1015.

[13] S. He, Y.-t. Huang, and C. Wen, *Loop Corrections to Soft Theorems in Gauge Theories and Gravity*, arXiv:1405.1410.

[14] A. J. Larkoski, *Conformal Invariance of the Subleading Soft Theorem in Gauge Theory*, *Phys.Rev.* **D90** (2014) 087701, [arXiv:1405.2346].

[15] V. Lysov, S. Pasterski, and A. Strominger, *Low's Subleading Soft Theorem as a Symmetry of QED*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **113** (2014) 111601, [arXiv:1407.3814].

[16] T. He, P. Mitra, A. P. Porfyriadis, and A. Strominger, *New Symmetries of Massless QED*, arXiv:1407.3789.

[17] J. Broedel, M. de Leeuw, J. Plefka, and M. Rosso, *Constraining subleading soft gluon and graviton theorems*, *Phys.Rev.* **D90** (2014) 065024, [arXiv:1406.6574].

[18] W. Giele and E. N. Glover, *Higher order corrections to jet cross-sections in e+ e- annihilation*, *Phys.Rev.* **D46** (1992) 1980–2010.

[19] C. D. White, *Factorization Properties of Soft Graviton Amplitudes*, *JHEP* **1105** (2011) 060, [arXiv:1103.2981].

[20] D. Maitre and P. Mastrolia, *S@M, a Mathematica Implementation of the Spinor-Helicity Formalism*, *Comput.Phys.Commun.* **179** (2008) 501–574, [arXiv:0710.5559].

[21] M.-x. Luo and C.-k. Wen, *Compact formulas for all tree amplitudes of six partons*, *Phys.Rev.* **D71** (2005) 091501, [hep-th/0502009].

[22] M.-x. Luo and C.-k. Wen, *Recursion relations for tree amplitudes in super gauge theories*, *JHEP* **0503** (2005) 004, [hep-th/0501121].